1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	OAKLAND DIVISION	
10	ATMEL CORPORATION, a Delaware	Case No. C 06-02138 CW
11	corporation; ATMEL SWITZERLAND, a corporation; ATMEL FRANCE, a corporation;	STIPULATION AND ORDER FILING
12	and ATMEL SARL, a corporation,	AUTHENTEC'S FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	v.	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
15	AUTHENTEC, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	AUTHENTEC, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No. C 07-03331 CW
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER WITHDRAWING ATMEL'S MOTION TO
20	V.	DISMISS
21	ATMEL CORPORATION, a Delaware	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
22	corporation; ATMEL SWITZERLAND, a corporation; ATMEL FRANCE, a corporation;	Judge. 11011. Claudia Wilkeli
23	and ATMEL SARL, a corporation,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE MOTION TO DISMISS C 06-02138 CW: C 07-03331 CW	

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12 and 7-7(e), Plaintiffs-Defendants Atmel Corporation, Atmel Switzerland, Atmel France, and Atmel SARL (collectively, "Atmel") and Defendant-Plaintiff AuthenTec, Inc. ("AuthenTec") hereby state that they have resolved the issues related to Atmel's pending Motion to Dismiss in Case No. 07-3331 [Dkt. Nos. 6 & 7] by agreeing that (i) AuthenTec will not pursue its state tort claims, and (ii) Atmel will permit AuthenTec to amend its Answer and Counterclaim in Case No. 06-2138 to assert the same unenforceability defenses and counterclaim as asserted in AuthenTec's Reply in Case No. 07-3331 [Dkt. No. 10].

In view of the foregoing, the parties hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

- 1. AuthenTec will not pursue any relief on the basis of its state tort claims (Counts 6-7) raised in Case No. 07-3331 and the state tort claims (Counts 6-7) shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- 2. Atmel's Motion to Dismiss AuthenTec's Claims (Counts 5-7) Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) in Case No. 07-3331 [Dkt. Nos. 6 & 7] is hereby withdrawn and the hearing noticed for August 16, 2007 shall be taken off-calendar pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-7(e).
- 3. Atmel may file its Amended Answer to the First Amended Complaint in Case No. 07-3331 within 20 days of this Order.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit 1 is AuthenTec's First Amended Answer and First Amended Counterclaim, which shall be filed in Case No. 06-2138. Atmel may file its Reply within 20 days after being served.

1	STIPULATED AND AGREED.		
2		D (01) 14 T	
	DATED: August 2, 2007	By: /s Olivia M. Kim Edward G. Poplawski	
3		Denise L. McKenzie	
4		Olivia M. Kim	
7		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
5		555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000	
_		Los Angeles, California 90013-1010	
6		Telephone: (213) 896-6000	
7		Facsimile: (213) 896-6600	
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Defendants	
		Atmel Corporation; Atmel Switzerland;	
9		Atmel France; and Atmel SARL	
10			
11	DATED: August 2, 2007	By: s/ Denise De Mory	
11		Henry C. Bunsow	
12		Denise De Mory	
12		Brian A.E. Smith HOWREY LLP	
13		525 Market Street, Suite 3600	
14		San Francisco, California 94105	
		Telephone: (415) 848-4900	
15		Facsimile: (415) 848-4999	
16			
17		Author Too. Inc.	
17		AuthenTec, Inc.	
18	ATTESTATION	AS TO CONCURRENCE	
19	ATTESTATION AS TO CONCURRENCE		
20	I, Olivia M. Kim, under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States of America, attest		
	that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories		
21	to this document.		
22		/s Olivia M. Kim	
23		Olivia M. Kim	
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, I	T IS SO ORDERED.	
25		- 1 -	
26	August 8 Dated:, 2007	Chrolielen	
27	, 2007	HON. CLAUDIA WILKEN	
		JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
28			
- 1	STIDIII ATION AND IDDODOSEDI	2	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE MOTION TO DISMISS C 06-02138 CW; C 07-03331 CW